

**Questionnaire
for the beneficiaries of the measure
"Supporting investments of agricultural enterprises (111)"**

General questions pertaining to the implementation of the SAPARD programme

1. Please indicate the measure(s) for which you have submitted your proposal, as well as the number of proposals submitted for that measure.

1.1. Supporting investments of agricultural enterprises (111)	
1.2. Improving the processing and marketing of agricultural and fishery products (114)	
1.3. Development and renovation of villages and protection and conservation of the rural heritage (1305)	
1.4. Diversification of activities and developing economic activities to provide alternative incomes (1306)	
1.5. Improvement of rural infrastructure (1308)	

2. In connection with preparing and implementing your SAPARD project proposal(s), have you been informed of or given technical assistance (information day, training, professional advice, trade fora etc.) by staff members or employees commissioned by institutions carrying out the programme (excluding personal and telephone support by the SAPARD Office/ARDA)?

2.1. I have been informed and participated;	<input type="radio"/>
2.2. I have been informed but have not participated;	<input type="radio"/>
2.3. I have not been informed;	<input type="radio"/>

3. Evaluate on a scale of 1 to 5 (1=poor, 5=excellent) the helpfulness of the information provided by the SAPARD Office/ARDA concerning the preparation of the proposal.

1	<input type="radio"/>	2	<input type="radio"/>	3	<input type="radio"/>	4	<input type="radio"/>	5	<input type="radio"/>
---	-----------------------	---	-----------------------	---	-----------------------	---	-----------------------	---	-----------------------

4. If you have participated in a training related to SAPARD applications, please indicate to what extent you have found it helpful in preparing your proposal.

4.1. it has greatly helped in preparing the application;	<input type="radio"/>
4.2. it has partly helped in preparing the application;	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>

4.3. it has not helped in preparing the application;	<input type="radio"/>
--	-----------------------

5. How do you assess the earlier availability of information for potential applicants regarding SAPARD projects?

5.1. excellent;	<input type="radio"/>
5.2. good;	<input type="radio"/>
5.3. mediocre;	<input type="radio"/>
5.4. poor;	<input type="radio"/>
5.5. no information available;	<input type="radio"/>

6. How have you gathered information on SAPARD application conditions?

6.1. television;	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.2. radio;	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.3. newspapers, magazines;	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.4. business partners;	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.5. village clerk (village agronomist);	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.6. other:	<input type="checkbox"/>

--

7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that

7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal;

--

7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;

--

7.3. have incurred unnecessary expenses for applicants;

--

8. Which of the following tasks have you found the most difficult during the preparation of your SAPARD application (you can specify more than one)?

8.1. project planning;	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.2. finding the external expert;	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.3. gathering all the required data for the application (supplements);	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.4. gathering information necessary for the application;	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.5. the call for proposals had many conditions that were difficult to	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.6. financing the preparation of the application;	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.7. tight deadlines;	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.8. providing for own contribution;	<input type="checkbox"/>

9. How much did the preparation of the proposal cost?

9.1. investment under 50 million HUF:		HUF
9.2. 50-100 million HUF investment:		HUF
9.3. 100-300 million HUF investment:		HUF
9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment:		HUF
9.5. over 500 million HUF investment:		HUF

10. How many days did it take to prepare the proposal?

10.1. within 10 days;	<input type="radio"/>
10.2. within 20 days;	<input type="radio"/>
10.3. within 30 days;	<input type="radio"/>
10.4. more than 30 days;	<input type="radio"/>

11. How many days did it take to acquire the proposal supplements (certificates)?

11.1. within 10 days;	<input type="radio"/>
11.2. within 20 days;	<input type="radio"/>
11.3. within 30 days;	<input type="radio"/>
11.4. more than 30 days;	<input type="radio"/>

12. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing official certificates.

12.1. well prepared, have provided everything in due time;	<input type="radio"/>
12.2. prepared to a certain extent, issuing certificates often delayed;	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>

12.3. not adequately prepared;	<input type="radio"/>
12.4. other, please specify:	<input type="radio"/>

13. Would you apply again to other programmes providing a set of conditions and opportunities similar to those provided by SAPARD?

13.1. yes - why?

--

13.2. no - why not?

--

Questions pertaining to the applicant

14. Please indicate the gender of the assistance beneficiaries if applicable (individual entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other cases, the gender of the head of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change following the implementation of the supported project, the time of implementation should be taken into account.

14.1. female;	<input type="radio"/>
14.2. male;	<input type="radio"/>

To be completed by undertakings only (questions 15-16)

15. Prior to submitting your application, when was the supported undertaking established?

15.1. within the past year;	<input type="radio"/>
15.2. 1-5 years ago;	<input type="radio"/>
15.3. more than 5 years ago;	<input type="radio"/>

16. Please indicate the number of persons employed full-time or part-time at your agricultural holding/undertaking (at present).

16.1. in total		persons
<i>of whom:</i>		
16.2. female		persons

16.3. male	<input type="text"/>	persons
16.4. aged under 30	<input type="text"/>	persons
16.5. aged 30-39	<input type="text"/>	persons
16.6. aged 40-60	<input type="text"/>	persons
16.7. aged over 60	<input type="text"/>	persons

Questions pertaining to the supported development/proposal

17. The development you have carried out with SAPARD assistance is aimed at

17.1. establishing a new activity;	<input type="radio"/>
17.2. further improving an existing activity;	<input type="radio"/>
17.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade refurbishing);	<input type="radio"/>

18. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic sources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The related tender may involve a different beneficiary.

18.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure under the SAPARD Programme;	<input type="checkbox"/>
18.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure under the SAPARD Programme;	<input type="checkbox"/>
18.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender;	<input type="checkbox"/>
18.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender;	<input type="checkbox"/>
18.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders;	<input type="checkbox"/>

19. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, until the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better.

19.1. the funding component of the development has not changed	<input type="radio"/>
19.2. the necessary own resources have increased:	<input type="radio"/>
<i>out of which:</i>	
19.2.1. contribution increase:	<input type="text"/> HUF or <input type="text"/> %
19.2.2. incl. loan increase:	<input type="text"/> HUF or <input type="text"/> %

20. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those planned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a consequence of the investment.

<input type="radio"/>

20.1. no;	<input type="radio"/>
20.2. yes;	<input type="radio"/>

Additional results:

To be completed by undertakings only (questions 21-23)

21. Please indicate whether the activity targeted by the supported development is

21.1. your principal activity, and you have no other sources of income;	<input type="radio"/>
21.2. your principal activity but you have additional sources of income, which in total do <i>not</i> exceed your income drawn from the supported principal activity;	<input type="radio"/>
21.3. your principal activity but you have additional sources of income, which in total <i>exceed</i> your income drawn from the supported principal activity;	<input type="radio"/>
21.4. not your principal activity, and the income drawn from it does <i>not</i> exceed 50% of the total income of the undertaking;	<input type="radio"/>
21.5. not your principal activity, and the income drawn from it <i>exceeds</i> 50% of the total income of the undertaking;	<input type="radio"/>

22. Please indicate the effect of the SAPARD assistance on the employment situation of your undertaking.

22.1. the employment situation has not changed;	<input type="radio"/>
22.2. the employment situation has improved;	<input type="radio"/>

which means:

22.2.1. the number of jobs created directly or indirectly as a result of the assistance:	<input type="text"/>	persons
22.2.2. the number of women employed:	<input type="text"/>	persons
22.2.3. the number of employees aged below 30:	<input type="text"/>	persons

23. Has the competitiveness of your undertaking improved as a result of the assistance?

23.1. yes, it has improved;	<input type="radio"/>
23.2. no change;	<input type="radio"/>
	<input type="radio"/>

23.3. no, it has deteriorated;

Please specify briefly what you perceive as improvement or deterioration.

--

Questions specific to the measures

111. Supporting investments of agricultural enterprises

24. Has the SAPARD investment contributed to an improvement in product quality?

24.1. yes;

24.2. no;

25. Do you know any existing quality certification schemes (trademark, geographical indication, products in the TRADITIONS–TASTES–REGIONS [TTR] Collection)?

25.1. yes;

25.2. no;

26. Does your undertaking market any products with quality certificates (see above)?

26.1. yes;

26.2. no;

27. If yes, what is the proportion of quality certified goods out of the product structure?

27.1. before the supported investment:

	%
	%

27.2. after the supported investment:

28. What percentage of quality certified goods comply with EU product quality specifications?

28.1. before the supported investment:	<input type="text"/>	%
28.2. after the supported investment:	<input type="text"/>	%

29. Does your undertaking market qualified products from organic farming?

29.1. yes;	<input type="radio"/>
29.2. no;	<input type="radio"/>

30. If yes, what is the proportion of bio-products in your product structure?

30.1. before the supported investment:	<input type="text"/>	%
30.2. after the supported investment:	<input type="text"/>	%

31. Has the project contributed to the improvement of the working conditions of employees in accordance with EU standards?

	<input type="radio"/>
31.1. yes, the general working environment has substantially improved;	<input type="radio"/>
31.2. yes, the general working environment has partly improved;	<input type="radio"/>
31.3. no, further investments would be needed for improvement;	<input type="radio"/>
31.4. working conditions had been fully in accordance with EU standards before the project;	<input type="radio"/>

32. Has the project contributed to the improvement of environmentally-aware farming?

32.1. yes, the primary objective of the investment was to ensure compliance with environmental conditions;	<input type="radio"/>
32.2. yes, the investment has indirectly contributed to a higher level of compliance with environmental conditions;	<input type="radio"/>
32.3. no, the investment has not contributed to compliance with environmental conditions;	<input type="radio"/>

33. Has the project affected the waste-water management of the undertaking?

<input type="radio"/>

33.1. yes;	<input type="radio"/>
33.2. no;	<input type="radio"/>
33.3. not applicable;	<input type="radio"/>

34. Has the project affected the manure treatment?

34.1. yes;	<input type="radio"/>
34.2. no;	<input type="radio"/>
34.3. not applicable;	<input type="radio"/>

35. Has the project affected the water management?

35.1. yes;	<input type="radio"/>
35.2. no;	<input type="radio"/>
35.3. not applicable;	<input type="radio"/>

36. Has the project been aimed at developing other environmentally friendly technologies/practices (apart from manure treatment and water management)?

36.1. yes;	<input type="radio"/>
36.2. no;	<input type="radio"/>

37. How do you assess the effect of the supported project on workforce efficiency at your undertaking?

37.1. deteriorated;	<input type="radio"/>
37.2. no change;	<input type="radio"/>
37.3. improved, by:	<input type="radio"/>

output/hour

38. How do you assess the effect of the supported project on the direct expenses incurred by your undertaking?

38.1. deteriorated;	<input type="radio"/>
38.2. no change;	<input type="radio"/>
38.3. improved, by:	<input type="radio"/>

(HUF/ha, HUF/livestock etc.)

39. Does your undertaking comply with EU animal health and welfare standards?

before the supported investment:

39.1. did not comply;	<input type="radio"/>
39.2. yes, it partly complied;	<input type="radio"/>
39.3. yes, it fully complied;	<input type="radio"/>

after the supported investment:

39.4. it did not comply;	<input type="radio"/>
39.5. yes, it partly complied;	<input type="radio"/>
39.6. yes, it fully complied;	<input type="radio"/>

40. In your agricultural holding, how many animal places comply with EU animal health and welfare standards? (Please also specify the total number of livestock.)

	Total no. of animal places	No. of EU compliant animal places	Total no. of animal places	No. of EU compliant animal places
cattle				
swine				
poultry				
other				

41. Did your undertaking carry out any other development in the area of manure treatment in the past ten years?

	<input type="radio"/>
41.1. yes;	<input type="radio"/>
41.2. no;	<input type="radio"/>

42. If yes, was the project realised from SAPARD assistance?

42.1. yes;	<input type="radio"/>
42.2. no;	<input type="radio"/>
42.3. not applicable;	<input type="radio"/>

43. Was the project aimed at improving manure storage?

43.1. yes;	<input type="radio"/>
43.2. no;	<input type="radio"/>
43.3. not applicable;	<input type="radio"/>

44. Was the project aimed at improving the application of fertiliser?

<input type="radio"/>

44.1. yes;	<input type="radio"/>
44.2. no;	<input type="radio"/>
44.3. not applicable;	<input type="radio"/>

45. Does the manure treatment of your undertaking comply with EU standards?

before the supported investment:

45.1. did not comply;	<input type="radio"/>
45.2. yes, it partly complied; <input type="text"/> %	<input type="radio"/>
45.3. yes, it fully complied;	<input type="radio"/>

after the supported investment:

45.4. did not comply;	<input type="radio"/>
45.5. yes, it partly complied; <input type="text"/> %	<input type="radio"/>
45.6. yes, it fully complied;	<input type="radio"/>

Date:

THANK YOU FOR YOUR FEEDBACK

